

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/812,728 | 03/30/2004 | Stephen D. Pastor | CO/2-22280/P1/CGC 2071/DI | 4806 |
| 324 | 7590 01/30/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION | | | SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE | |
| PATENT D | EPARTMENT | | | |
| 540 WHITE PLAINS RD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| P O BOX 2005 | | | 1714 | |
| TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005 | | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ì | / |
|----|---|
| 7) | |
| v | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/812,728 | PASTOR ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Kriellion A. Sanders | 1714 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De | ecember 2005 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ·= | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| ·— · · · | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| ✓ Claim(s) 15-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-18,20,22-28,31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>15,19,21,29,30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/04</u> . | 6) Other: | atom Application (FTO-192) | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/812,728 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 15-30 in the response filed 12/09/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 31 is directed to one specific compound. This is not found persuasive because the inventions of Groups 1 and 2 are patentably distinct. Applicant's election of the species wherein the organic material is candle wax is also acknowledged. Claims 15, 19, 21, 29 and 30 read upon the elected species. Claims 16-18, 20, 22-28 and 31 are withdrawn from consideration.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 31 is withdrawn from consideration as not directed to the elected invention.

This application contains claims 16-18, 20, 22-28 and 31 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/812,728 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 15, 19, 21, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trainor et al, US Patent No. 6296674.

Trainor et al discloses candle wax that has been stabilized with a benzotriazole compound that corresponds directly with those set forth in applicant's claim 15. See in particular, patentee's compound of formula (I) wherein G1 is hydrogen or halogen and E1 is hydrogen or halogen. The compositions may include other conventional additives such as benzoates and N-OR hindered amines. See col. 2, line 5 through col. 3, line 9 and col. 9, line58 through col. 13, line 40. There is no patentable difference between the present and patented inventions. It would have been at least obvious to select and combine any of the species of components disclosed in Trainor et al to formulate a stabilized candle wax composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714